

REMARKS

Claims 34-37 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 1, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed with respect to these claims as amended herein.

These claims have been amended in consideration of the Examiner's comments and suggestions merely to define the invention more specifically. As amended, these claims and new claims 41 and 42 presented herewith now define the invention that is amply illustrated and described, for example, with reference to Figures 3D, 8A (left-side sequence), 10C and D, and the portions of the Specification at pages 15, line 31 to page 16, line 2 and lines 19-30, page 24, lines 4-11, and page 25, line 31 to page 26, line 4.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that amended claims 34-37, 41 and 42 now adequately define the invention as amply illustrated and described in the application as originally filed, and that these claims are now patentable to Applicant.

Favorable consideration is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Albert K. Chin

Dated: November 1, 2006

By: /Albert C. Smith/

Albert C. Smith, Reg. No. 20,355
Fenwick & West LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Telephone (650) 335-7296
Fax (650) 938-5200